

I have been involved in broadcasting for the last 29 years and during that time, I have never owned or been with a company that has ever been accused of any indeceny violations. In fact, I am not aware of any Oklahoma broadcaster who has ever been involved with such violations. With this in mind, I would like to ask the commission why they would burden all broadcasters to tape all programming for sixteen hours a day, when such complaints fall on only a very few? This is not a common problem among broadcasters. In fact for the vast majority, it is not even an issue at all. Add to that, the costs involved in equipment and manpower plus the burden of storing the programming for the sixty days of tapes, and it doesn't make sense to punish all broadcasters for the actions of a few. Why not place the burden of proof on those that are in violation, instead of the entire radio and television industry. Our company owns one station in Oklahoma, and I ask the commission to consider the burdens such a ruling would place on so many, when so very few are involved in such behavior. It simply doesn't make good sense.